



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1016/j.jpsychores.2016.11.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2016.11.009)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Thandi, G., Fear, N. T., & Chalder, T. (2017). A comparison of the Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS) across different patient populations using Rasch analysis and exploratory factor analysis. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 92, 45-48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2016.11.009>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal


Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Accepted Manuscript

A comparison of the Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS) across different patient populations using Rasch analysis and exploratory factor analysis

Gursimran Thandi, Nicola T Fear, Trudie Chalder



PII: S0022-3999(16)30536-0
DOI: doi: [10.1016/j.jpsychores.2016.11.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2016.11.009)
Reference: PSR 9243
To appear in: *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*
Received date: 23 August 2016
Revised date: 23 November 2016
Accepted date: 25 November 2016

Please cite this article as: Gursimran Thandi, Nicola T Fear, Trudie Chalder , A comparison of the Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS) across different patient populations using Rasch analysis and exploratory factor analysis. The address for the corresponding author was captured as affiliation for all authors. Please check if appropriate. *PSR*(2016), doi: [10.1016/j.jpsychores.2016.11.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2016.11.009)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Title page

1. **Title:** A comparison of the Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS) across different patient populations using Rasch analysis and exploratory factor analysis.
2. **Corresponding author details:**
Name: Miss Gursimran Thandi
Department: Academic Department of Military Mental Health, King's College London, UK
Email: gursimran.thandi@kcl.ac.uk
Telephone: +44 207 848 5210
3. **Co-authors:**
 1. Professor Nicola T Fear, King's Centre for Military Mental Health, King's College London, London, UK
 2. Professor Trudie Chalder, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience King's College London, London, UK
4. **Keywords:** Work and Social Adjustment Scale, functional impairment, Rasch analysis
5. **Word count** (excluding title page, abstract, references, figures and tables): 2,735

A comparison of the Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS) across different patient populations using Rasch analysis and exploratory factor analysis.

Abstract

Introduction:

The Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS) is designed to measure patients' perceived functional impairment associated with a health problem. There is a paucity of studies that explore the stability of the item hierarchy in the WSAS across different disease populations. This study investigated the unidimensional structure of the WSAS across different disease populations.

Methods:

Secondary data analysis was conducted on pooled patient data (HIV, breast cancer, and inflammatory conditions) to create a new dataset (n=554). The data were analysed using Rasch analysis and exploratory factor analysis.

Results:

Exploratory factor analysis and principle component analysis of the WSAS showed a good fit as a unidimensional scale, person and item separation indices were greater than 2 suggesting that the WSAS is sensitive enough to distinguish between participants of varying levels of ability. Some differential item functioning was seen by diagnosis and by sex for items 1 and 5 of the WSAS.

Conclusions:

Overall, a one dimensional structure was identified for the WSAS. However, a small number of differential item functioning (DIF) was identified, suggesting that scores from the WSAS cannot be compared across groups.

Keywords:

Work and Social Adjustment Scale, functional impairment, Rasch analysis

Introduction

The Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS) is designed to measure patients' perceived functional impairment resulting from a health problem. The original measure was a four item scale, that covered the work, home, social, and private leisure domains, for rating disability in psychotherapy studies of phobias(1). Marks et al(2) adapted the measure by adding a fifth item concerning interpersonal relations. The five WSAS items determine the following impairment dimensions: (1) work; (2) home management; (3) social leisure activities; (4) private leisure activities; and (5) relationships with others. Scores range from 0 to 40 with lower scores indicating better adjustment. Scores above 20 suggest moderately severe psychopathology, scores between 10 and 20 are associated with significant functional impairment but less severe clinical symptomatology, and scores below 10 are associated with subclinical populations(3).

Whilst the WSAS has been used to measure impairment in various populations with results suggesting a one-factor solution(4-6), there is a paucity of studies that explore the stability of the item hierarchy in the WSAS across disease clinical populations. Should item hierarchies vary across groups, for example if some items are easier or more difficult to answer for one group compared to another group, the resulting scores cannot be generalised or compared. This study aimed to examine the dimensionality and reliability of the WSAS in HIV, breast cancer, and inflammatory conditions in patient populations using Rasch analysis and exploratory factor analysis, to investigate if scores from the WSAS can be validly and reliably used across illness groups.

Method

Secondary data analysis was conducted on patient data pooled from a number of studies carried out at King's College London. The data have been combined from patients with HIV, breast cancer, and inflammatory conditions(7). A total of 554 patients completed the WSAS. All patients provided demographic information, and information about their employment status. All studies from which these data have been drawn received ethical approval from the local research ethics committee (REC reference: 12/LO/1510; IRAS project ID: 83947, breast cancer study that received approval from the ethics research and audit panel at King's College Hospital). Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Analysis:

In order to establish the dimensionality or factorial structure underpinning the WSAS, i.e. whether the scale is unidimensional, or if it can be better characterised by a number of underlying dimensions, a Rasch model was used to analyse the similarity of the WSAS item hierarchy across the three diagnostic groups(8).

Rasch analysis is also useful in examining differential item functioning (DIF). This is where the response to an item differs for people by diagnostic group. This is a useful comparison as it identifies whether the same item on a scale represents a different level of impairment by diagnostic group. Carrying out exploratory factor analysis in conjunction with Rasch analysis is a valuable technique which allows for the identification of any latent dimensions in the scale that can be further explored using Rasch analysis.

Step 1:

To evaluate the dimensionality and reliability of the WSAS, exploratory factor analysis, and the Rasch measurement model were used. Unidimensionality was examined by conducting exploratory factor analysis and through carrying out a principal component analysis (PCA) of the standardized residuals to determine whether any sub-dimensions existed within the items of the WSAS. As there are no fixed rules for interpreting the results of principal component analysis of residuals, for this study unidimensionality was supported if the proportion of variance explained by the measures was >50% and the eigenvalue of unexplained variance explained by first contrast was smaller than 2(8).

Test of fit to the Rasch model was evaluated with two indices, information-weighted fit statistic (INFIT) and the outlier-sensitive fit statistic (OUTFIT), were used to test the dimensionality of the WSAS. These statistics are the average weighted and un-weighted standardised residuals (residuals represented by the difference between actual responses and Rasch model expected responses). Items with INFIT or OUTFIT outside a reasonable range for rating scales of 0.6– 1.4 are considered misfitting(9).

Step 2:

The reliability of the WSAS was examined using the person separation reliability statistic. The person separation index indicates how well the WSAS items separate the participants into statistically distinct levels of severity and the item separation index indicates how well the participants separate the items into different levels of difficulty. The separation index (SI) must exceed 2 to achieve the desired level of separation reliability (i.e., a value of 0.80) and exceed 3 to attain a value of 0.90(10).

Step 3:

The items on the WSAS were tested for any overall Differential item functioning (DIF) by sex, and across the three illness groups.

Exploratory factor analysis was conducted using Stata (version 11.2). The Rasch analysis was completed using Winsteps (version 3.91.2).

Results

402 females and 152 males were included in the combined dataset. The mean age of the participants was 48.3 years. 39.7% of female participants were employed compared to 34.8% of males. Full demographic information and the distribution of impairment severity as measured by the WSAS by illness, sex, age, and employment is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Levels of functional impairment as measured by the WSAS by illness, sex, age, and employment. N = number, % = column percentage			
Demographic variables	Mild functional impairment WSAS <10 (& >0) n(%)	Moderately severe functional impairment WSAS 10-20 n(%)	Severe functional impairment WSAS > 20 n(%)
Illness			
Inflammatory conditions	73 (50.3)	52 (40.9)	65 (47.8)
Breast Cancer	33 (22.8)	42 (33.1)	52 (38.2)
HIV	39 (26.9)	33 (26.0)	19 (14.0)
Sex			
Male	36 (24.8)	37 (29.4)	31 (23.1)
Female	109 (75.2)	89 (70.6)	103 (76.9)

Age group			
<= 29 years	13 (9.0)	5 (3.9)	26 (6.4)
30-34	17 (11.7)	10 (7.9)	10 (7.4)
35-39	17 (11.7)	9 (7.1)	14 (10.3)
40-49	31 (21.4)	43 (33.9)	40 (29.4)
>50	67 (46.2)	60 (47.2)	64 (47.1)
Employment status			
Employed	15 (50.0)	9 (40.9)	5 (25.0)
Unemployed, looking for work	7 (23.3)	3 (13.6)	3 (15.0)
Student, not in paid work	0 (0.0)	1 (4.6)	1 (5.0)
Homemaker/not working	2 (6.7)	3 (13.6)	5 (25.0)
Retired	6 (20.0)	3 (13.6)	2 (10.0)
Disability/sick leave	0 (0.0)	3 (13.6)	4 (20.0)

Dimensionality

The INFIT, OUTFIT statistics were; item 1 INFIT 1.36, OUTFIT 1.23, item 2 INFIT 0.74, OUTFIT 0.75, item 3 INFIT 0.52, OUTFIT 0.56, item 4 INFIT 0.83, OUTFIT 0.81, item 5 INFIT 1.74, OUTFIT 1.69. Items with INFIT or OUTFIT outside a reasonable range for rating scales of 0.6– 1.4 are considered misfitting therefore, the results suggest that the items relating to social leisure activities (item 3), and close relationships (item 5) do not fit well with the expectations of the rating scale models.

In order to further examine the results from the fit statistics, a principal component analysis of residuals was carried out to check the dimensionality of the data. Table 3 shows that the percentage of variance explained by the measures (73.2%) is very close to the expected variance (73.4%). According to Rasch model simulations, it is unlikely that the 1st contrast in the unexplained variance will be greater than 2.0(8). The unexplained variance explained by the first contrast here was 1.60 eigenvalue units (i.e., <2.0 eigenvalue units) indicating that the WSAS shows a good fit as a unidimensional scale (Table 2).

Table 2. Raw residual variance for WSAS

	Eigenvalue units	Observed	Expected
Total Variance in Observations	18.66	100%	100%

Variance explained by the measures	13.66	73.2%	73.4%
Raw variance explained by persons	6.53	35.0%	35.1%
Raw Variance explained by items	7.13	38.2%	38.3%
Unexplained variance (total)	5.00	26.8%	26.6%
Unexplained variance in 1st contrast	1.60	8.6%	32.0%
Unexplained variance in 2nd contrast	1.43	7.7%	28.6%
Unexplained variance in 3rd contrast	1.09	5.8%	21.7%
Unexplained variance in 4th contrast	0.87	4.7%	17.4%
Unexplained variance in 5th contrast	0.01	0.1%	0.3%

The data were further examined for unidimensionality using exploratory factor analysis. A one, two, three and four factor solution was calculated. All items loaded satisfactorily in the one factor solution (loadings > 0.7) but not for any other solutions (loadings < 0.7) [data not shown but available from authors]. The analysis was re-run by sex, and diagnostic group. The factor loadings for all items on the WSAS were satisfactory for patients from the three diagnostic groups, and by sex, confirming one dimension for the scale (Table 3).

Table 3. Factor loadings for WSAS at baseline, full sample and by each illness group and sex.

	Full sample One factor loadings	HIV One factor loadings	Inflammatory conditions One factor loadings	Cancer One factor loadings	Male One factor loadings	Female One factor loadings
1. Because of the way I feel, my ability to work is impaired	0.83	0.87	0.87	0.72	0.86	0.82
2. Because of the way I feel, my home management (cleaning, tidying, shopping, cooking, looking after home or children, paying bills) is impaired	0.90	0.86	0.92	0.89	0.90	0.90
3. Because of the way I feel, my social leisure activities involving other people (such as parties, outings, visits, dating, home entertainment, cinema) are impaired	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.91	0.95	0.92

4. Because of the way I feel, my private leisure activities done alone (such as reading, watching TV, gardening, craft work, walking, sewing) are impaired	0.89	0.87	0.91	0.88	0.92	0.88
5. Because of the way I feel, my ability to form and maintain close relationships with others, including those I live with is impaired	0.73	0.77	0.80	0.71	0.77	0.74

Reliability

The reliability of the WSAS was tested by calculating the Cronbach's alpha coefficient, which for the 5-item WSAS scale was 0.93 suggesting that the items are closely related, and by obtaining person and item separation ratios and reliability estimates from WINSTEPS. The separation index must exceed 2 to achieve the desired level of separation reliability (i.e., a value of 0.80) and exceed 3 to attain a value of 0.90. The item measure reliability estimate was 0.98, and the separation index was 6.58. The person measure reliability estimate was 0.80, and the separation index was 2.01. Person and item reliability exceeded the criterion for “good” (≥ 0.80). Person and item separation index was greater than 2; therefore, the WSAS is sensitive enough to distinguish between participants of varying levels of disability.

Differential item functioning (DIF)

Table 4 shows significant DIF comparisons by diagnostic group and by sex for each item on the WSAS (i.e. some items are easier or harder to answer for one group compared to another group). The data showed some differential item functioning by diagnostic group for all items. However, for most items the difference contrast, which is the difference in difficulty of the item between groups, was less than 0.5 logits, and it should be at least 0.5 logits for DIF to be noticeable(11). The items showing significant DIF (i.e. contrast greater than 0.5 logits and probability $p < 0.05$) were; item 1 - was more difficult item for the HIV and inflammatory conditions groups compared to the breast cancer group, and item 5 was easier for the HIV

group than for the breast cancer and inflammatory conditions groups. DIF was seen by sex for item 5 where female participants found item 5 more difficult to answer than male participants.

<<Table 4 here>>

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Table 4: Differential item functioning of WSAS by diagnostic groups, and by sex. *Indicates significant differences ($p < 0.05$; $p < 0.01$) using Mantel-Haenszel statistic for polytomous scales.

WSAS Item	DIF		Difference contrast (logits)	DIF		Difference contrast (logits)	DIF		Difference contrast (logits)	DIF		Difference contrast (logits)
	Breast Cancer	HIV		Breast Cancer	Inflammatory conditions		HIV	Inflammatory conditions		Male	Female	
1	-0.43	0.08	-0.51*	-0.43	-0.31	-0.12	0.08	-0.31	0.39**	-0.10	-0.33	-0.23
2	-0.21	0.15	-0.37	-0.21	-0.17	-0.04	0.15	-0.17	0.33*	0.13	-0.20	-0.33**
3	-0.34	-0.12	-0.21*	-0.34	-0.21	-0.13	-0.12	-0.21	0.09	-0.20	-0.23	-0.03
4	0.25	-0.03	0.28	0.25	-0.01	0.26*	-0.03	-0.01	-0.02	-0.02	0.10	0.13
5	0.76	-0.13	0.89*	0.76	0.71	0.05	-0.13	0.71	-0.84**	0.17	0.68	0.51**

Discussion

This study examined the widely used WSAS from both a psychometric and item response theory perspective by conducting a factor analysis and Rasch analysis on data collected from participants in three disease groups (HIV, breast cancer, and inflammatory conditions). The results show that the WSAS is a unidimensional scale and the overall, internal consistency of the WSAS was high - Cronbach's alpha coefficient 0.93. However, our results show DIF by sex and by illness group; in other words the scale did not perform equally across these groups; the response to an item differed for people by diagnostic group and gender. This poses an interesting challenge for interpreting the results, because in order to establish that a test is not biased the expected DIF would be zero. In this regard, our findings do not support the validity of the WSAS. However, it is important to consider whether these differences are a result of true clinical differences between the groups. The item showing significant DIF in this sample was item 5 which relates to 'interpersonal relationships'. It may be possible that item 5 of the WSAS captures a different element of social function compared to the other items. The first four items on the WSAS relate to (1) work; (2) home management; (3) social leisure activities; and (4) private leisure activities, which may be associated with physical functioning whereas item 5 (relationships with others) may be seen as emotional functioning.

The item measuring relationship functioning was added to the scale after initial development(1). This item appears to be different from the other items in that the first four address participation in life whereas the fifth item is about quality. As such it may be difficult for participants to accurately respond to the quality of their relationship in the context of the entire scale. Furthermore it would be difficult for a participant not in an intimate relationship to complete. Another explanation could be the small sample size of this study and that a greater number of cases are needed to fully understand this DIF. Some researchers have suggested that DIF items are removed; however doing so might affect content validity of the measure and comparability with other studies(12). In this study we have chosen not to remove items showing DIF given the short structure of the WSAS and the small sample size. However, when using the WSAS it is recommended that researchers interpret their findings with caution, and where possible stratify their sample by sex.

The strength of this study is that data were available from three difference groups of patients (HIV, inflammatory conditions, and breast cancer) allowing comparison by diagnosis and by sex. The limitations of this study include the relatively small sample size including the subgroup analyses. For this reason, these findings cannot be generalised to the wider population. The use of retrospective data analysis techniques and the use of hospital samples may also limit the generalisability of these results and further research on different illness groups is warranted. That being said, the WSAS is a short tool that is easy to complete, and has been used on numerous occasions in clinical trials and appears to work well as a screening tool(13), making it useful for routine clinical practice and research.

Conclusions

The aim of this study was to investigate dimensionality of the WSAS and to test if scores from the WSAS can be validly and reliably compared across illness groups. Overall, a one dimensional structure was identified for the WSAS. However, a small number of DIF items were identified, suggesting that scores from the WSAS cannot be compared across groups.

Acknowledgements

TC acknowledges the financial support from the Department of Health via the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Specialist Biomedical Research Centre for Mental Health award to South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust (SLaM) and the Institute of Psychiatry at King's College London.

References:

1. Marks IM. Behavioural psychotherapy: Maudsley pocket book of clinical management: Wright/IOP Publishing; 1986.
2. Gelder MG, Marks IM. Severe Agoraphobia: A Controlled Prospective Trial of Behaviour Therapy 1966 1966-03-01 00:00:00. 309-19 p.
3. Mundt JC, Marks IM, Shear MK, Greist JH. The Work and Social Adjustment Scale: a simple measure of impairment in functioning. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 2002 May;180:461-4.
4. Jansson-Frojmark M. The work and social adjustment scale as a measure of dysfunction in chronic insomnia: reliability and validity. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*. 2014 Mar;42(2):186-98.
5. Cella M, Sharpe M, Chalder T. Measuring disability in patients with chronic fatigue syndrome: reliability and validity of the Work and Social Adjustment Scale. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*. 2011 9//;71(3):124-8.
6. Mataix-Cols D, Cowley AJ, Hankins M, Schneider A, Bachofen M, Kenwright M, Gega L, Cameron R, Marks IM. Reliability and validity of the Work and Social Adjustment Scale in phobic disorders. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*. 2005 5//;46(3):223-8.
7. Ali S, Matcham F, Irving K, Chalder T. Fatigue and psychosocial variables in autoimmune rheumatic disease and chronic fatigue syndrome: A cross-sectional comparison. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*. 2017 1//;92:1-8.
8. Linacre JM. A user's guide to WINSTEPS MINISTEP Rasch-model computer programs. Chicago IL: Winsteps com. 2006.
9. Wright BD, Linacre JM, Gustafson J, Martin-Lof P. Reasonable mean-square fit values. *Rasch measurement transactions*. 1994;8(3):370.

10. Andrich D. An index of person separation in latent trait theory, the traditional KR. 20 index, and the Guttman scale response pattern. *Education Research and Perspectives*. 1982;9(1):95-104.
11. Linacre JM. Table 30.1 Differential item functioning DIF pairwise 2015 [cited 2016 18/02]. Available from: http://www.winsteps.com/winman/table30_1.htm.
12. Teresi JA, Ramirez M, Lai J-S, Silver S. Occurrences and sources of Differential Item Functioning (DIF) in patient-reported outcome measures: Description of DIF methods, and review of measures of depression, quality of life and general health. *Psychology science quarterly*. 2008;50(4):538-.
13. Moss-Morris R, Dennison L, Yardley L, Landau S, Roche S, McCrone P, Chalder T. Protocol for the saMS trial (supportive adjustment for multiple sclerosis): a randomized controlled trial comparing cognitive behavioral therapy to supportive listening for adjustment to multiple sclerosis. *BMC Neurology*. 2009;9(1):1-17.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no competing interests to report.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Highlights

1. Compared stability of the item hierarchy of the Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS) in patients with HIV, breast cancer, and inflammatory conditions.
2. Exploratory factor analysis and principle component analysis of the WSAS showed a good fit as a unidimensional scale.
3. Differential item functioning was seen by diagnosis and by sex for items 1 and 5 of the WSAS.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT